

## Fraud

R v C

The defendant was spared custody following conviction for a high value fraud surrounding her dishonest claim for benefits whilst working over a number of years.

R v B

The defendant was charged with a high value fraud involving the misappropriation of almost £750,000 from a national charity over a prolonged period of time.

R v L

The defendant was charged with a large scale and high value "eBay scam" surrounding the purported sale of items which did not in fact exist. The defendant was spared immediate custody.

## Homicide and Violence

R v B

Mark was junior advocate and litigator in this case where the defendant was acquitted of murder and unlawful wounding with intent. The case involved complex issues of joint enterprise and intent.

R v N

Mark represented this defendant who was charged with murder, conspiracy to murder and robbery. This case involved 3 defendants and attracted national media coverage. The case surrounded a fatal shooting, and the near fatal shooting of a police officer and a subsequent manhunt lasting a number of weeks.

R v D

Mark was junior advocate and litigator in this case which involved a defendant who suffered with an acute psychotic mental illness, charged with attempted murder. There was substantial psychiatric evidence called in the case, and the case was further complicated as the defendant spoke no English.

R v A

This defendant was arrested for the alleged killing of his infant child. Detailed and complex pathological evidence was obtained which ultimately determined that the cause of death was a pre-existing injury most likely caused at birth.

R v N

Mark represented this defendant who was cleared of murder in a case where it was alleged he was part of a group who fatally assaulted a member of his community. Issues of joint enterprise were of key importance in clearing the defendant.

R v D

The defendant was cleared of assault alleged by a child with learning difficulties at a youth club where the defendant worked.

R v K

The defendant was one of 11 charged with false imprisonment and assault. The defendant was only 12 years old at the time of the proceedings and was cleared of the most serious charge faced by her.

R v K

The defendant, a professional sportsman, was cleared of rape following trial. The principal issue in the case was that of consent.

## Drugs

R v S

Mark acted as advocate and litigator for the defendant who was charged with supplying drugs on a commercial basis. The defendant was spared immediate custody.

R v P

Mark acted for the defendant at his trial and re-trial charged as part of a large scale conspiracy to supply drugs from the North West to the North East of England. Both trials lasted in excess of 6 weeks. The Defendant was acquitted of all wrongdoing.

R v M

Mark was advocate and litigator for the defendant. His case was sent to the Court of Appeal to review his sentence which was considered unduly lenient following a large scale and multi handed conspiracy involving class A drugs.